1 2 3 4	Eric J. Benink, Esq., SBN 187434 KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS, I 550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101 P 619.232.0331 F 619.232.4019 eric@kkbs-law.com	LLP
5 6 7 8 9 10	Natalie H. Locke, Esq., SBN 261363 natalie@perfect10.com Lynell D. Davis, Esq., SBN 271152 lynell@perfect10.com PERFECT 10, INC. 11803 Norfield Court Los Angeles, CA 90077 P 310.476.0794 F 310.476.8138 Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.	
12 13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, v. IWEB GROUP, INC., a Canadian company d/b/a iWeb.com; IWEB INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INC., a Canadian company d/b/a iWeb.com; IWEB TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Canadian company d/b/a iWeb.com; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No.: 13 CV 0328 BTM BLM Before Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz PERFECT 10'S REQUEST TO STRIKE DEFENDANT IWEB'S UNTIMELY MOTION TO STAY AND FOR LEGAL FEES AND COSTS UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 41(d)
26 27 28		

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 1 Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(e)(7), Perfect 10 hereby requests that the Court strike 2 the untimely Notice of Motion and Motion to Stay and for Legal Fees and Costs Under 3 Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(d) ("Motion to Stay"), Docket No. 28, filed today by Defendants 4 iWeb Group, Inc., iWeb Intellectual Properties, Inc., and iWeb Technologies, Inc. 5 (collectively "Defendants"). The motion was noticed for today, June 21, 2013, the day 6 the moving papers were filed and therefore is untimely and is in violation of Local 7 Rule 7.1(e)(1), which requires a minimum filing date of twenty-eight (28) days prior to 8 the date for which the matter is noticed. Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. respectfully requests 9 that the Court strike the untimely Motion to Stay, or in the alternative, order the 10 Defendants to obtain a hearing date, as required by Local Rule 7.1(b) that would allow 11 sufficient time for Perfect 10 to file a response pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(e)(2) 12 allowing Perfect 10 a minimum of 14 calendar days before the hearing date to respond. 13 Dated: June 21, 2013 14 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, PERFECT 10, INC. 15 16 By: 17 Natalie Locke Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28